

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CALIPER LIFE SCIENCES, INC. 605 FAIRCHILD DRIVE MOUNTAIN VIEW CA 94043-2234

COPY MAILED

SEP 2 6 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Parce et al. : DECISION ON PETITION

Application No. 09/361,478

Filed: 26 July, 1999 Atty Docket No. 100/02510

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed on 29 August, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is GRANTED.

This application became abandoned on 2 January, 2005, for failure to file a timely reply to the final Office action mailed on 1 October, 2004, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply were filed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The filing of the present petition precedes the mailing of Notice of Abandonment. The petition filed on 18 July, 2005, was dismissed on 10 August, 2005.

¹ Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). Agrantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required replymay be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in complaince with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth \dot{n} 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

Petitioners have filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and have indicated that the previously filed amendment (a copy has been provided with the petition) is the submission required under 37 CFR 1.114.

This application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 2857 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.

Douglas I. Wood

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions